1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON

UNITED STA' WESTERN DISTRICT OF V

CHRISTIAN DOSCHER,

Plaintiff,

v.

in her individual capacity; and OUNTY,

Defendants.

No.:

DECLARATION OF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Note for Docket: April 19, 2013

- I, am over the age of eighteen years, am competent to testify herein and have personal knowledge of the following:
- I am the elected Clerk of County and ex officio Clerk of County
 Superior Court.
- 2. I have never been served the summons or complaint in this matter. I am aware of Mr. Doscher's claims because he also sued me regarding the same issue in a land County Superior Court case.
- 3. My staff and I have searched the County Superior Court records in Mr.

 Doscher's criminal case 88-1-00706-7, for the document with the added notations "microfilmed 1995" and "Corrected copy, felony non-deferred, Date of conviction: 1995" that Mr. Doscher complains of, and did not find the document in files maintained by my office. Nowhere in the court

3

5

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

file maintained by my office is there a copy of the document with the notations "microfilmed 1995" and "Corrected copy, felony non-deferred, Date of conviction: 1995."

- A copy of the Order of Probation, the court order that was filed in Mr. Doscher's 4. criminal case, 88-1-00706-7, is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The order consists of two pages, and the signature of Judge Doran appears on page two. The order does not contain any stamp or notations from the State Patrol, and does not have the additional notations that Mr. Doscher is suing about in this case.
- 5. The one-page document that Mr. Doscher has referred to as a "false felony" obtained from the State Patrol files is also filed in Mr. Doscher's criminal case, No. 88-1-00706-7, because Mr. Doscher presented the document in court as an exhibit in support of his motion seeking to seal his criminal case file. The document was filed in the superior court records for case No. 88-1-00706-7 as an attachment to docket notes for a hearing held September 25, 2009, and is attached hereto as Exhibit B. The document does not include the notations "microfilmed 1995" and "Corrected copy, felony non-deferred, Date of conviction: 1995" that Mr. Doscher is suing about in this matter.
- 6. Mr. Doscher's allegation that someone in my office made the notations "microfilmed 1995" and "Corrected copy, felony non-deferred, Date of conviction: 1995" that form the basis of his complaint is not plausible. I reviewed the document containing those notations that was provided by Mr. Doscher to the prosecuting attorney through discovery. A diligent search was conducted and no document with those notations has been found in the County Superior Court records of Mr. Doscher's case maintained by my office. Furthermore, the fact that the document contains a date stamp showing it was received by the State Patrol seems to indicate it was a document from the State Patrol. When criminal sentencing orders are entered in Superior Court, a

Document 20 Filed 03/28/13 Page 3 of 3

	II			
1	copy is sent to	does not send court of	orders to Superior Court, so I think Mr. Doscher is	S
2	mistaken when he states that	at anyone else at	County is responsible for the existence of the	ne
3	document.			
4	7. As the elected	ed Clerk for	County, I establish official policies, practices, ar	nd
5	procedures for the operation	n of my office. There	e is no such policy, practice, or procedure for	
6	approving court orders, because the Clerk has no authority to approve court orders.			
7	8. I did not create, file, alter or approve the document with the notations "microfilmed			
8	SANDANI STATE JAMESANI			u
9	1995" and "Corrected copy, felony non-deferred, Date of conviction: 1995" as stated in Mr.			
10	Doscher's complaint. The only copy of the document that I am aware of with these notations came			
11	from Mr. Doscher, not from	County re	ecords.	
	11			
12	I DECLARE UND	ER PENALTY OF	PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE	
12 13	I DECLARE UND		PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA THAT THE	Ē
		AND OF THE		Ē
13	STATE OF FOREGOING IS TRUE	AND OF THE	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA THAT THE	E
13 14	STATE OF FOREGOING IS TRUE	AND OF THE	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA THAT THE	Ε
13 14 15	STATE OF FOREGOING IS TRUE	AND OF THE	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA THAT THE	E
13 14 15 16	STATE OF FOREGOING IS TRUE	AND OF THE	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA THAT THE	E
13 14 15 16 17	STATE OF FOREGOING IS TRUE	AND OF THE	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA THAT THE	E
13 14 15 16 17	STATE OF FOREGOING IS TRUE A DATED this 28 th da	AND OF THE MAND CORRECT. By of March, 2013 at a lelectronically filed the foregone in the control of the contr	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA THAT THE	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	FOREGOING IS TRUE ADATED this 28 th da	AND OF THE MAND CORRECT. By of March, 2013 at a lelectronically filed the foregone in the control of the contr	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA THAT THE	